## ORIGINAL

Federal Commi	unications Commission Region, DC 20554	CEIVER
In the Matter of	) Federal Communic ) ET Docket No. 93-62 Office of S	2 1997
Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation	) ET Docket No. 93-62 Office of 8	ations Commission Secretary
• •	DOCKET FILE COPY CRIGINAL	

BEFORE THE

## COMMENTS OF PRIMECO PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS, L.P.

PrimeCo Personal Communications, L.P. ("PrimeCo"), by its attorneys and pursuant to Section 1.429(f) of the Commission's Rules, hereby submits the following comments in response to the Petitions for Reconsideration of the Commission's *First Memorandum Opinion and Order* ("MO&O")<sup>2</sup> filed in the above-referenced proceeding. For the reasons discussed below, PrimeCo supports those Petitions which request an extension of the deadline for compliance with the new radiofrequency ("RF") emissions rules.

The MO&O extended the transition period for applicants and station licensees to determine compliance with the new requirements for evaluating the environmental effects of RF electromagnetic fields from FCC-regulated transmitters. For most radio services, including PCS, the transition period was extended eight months, from January 1, 1997 to September 1, 1997.

According to the Commission, the extension of the transition period was to allow time for

No. of Copies rec'd 044

PrimeCo is a broadband PCS MTA licensee or majority interest-holder in 11 MTA markets, with transmitters located at over 1,000 sites, and has previously participated in this proceeding as an ex parte filer. See Ex Parte Comments of Arch Communications Group, Inc. and PrimeCo Personal Communications, L.P., filed December 10, 1996.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> FCC 96-487, released December 24, 1996.

applicants and licensees to review the results of future actions to be taken by the Commission with respect to the technical and legal issues raised by petitioners of the original *Report and Order* in this proceeding.<sup>3</sup> The Commission also noted that an extension would allow time for affected parties to review the revised OET Bulletin No. 65, which the Commission said would be released shortly after it addressed the other issues outstanding in the proceeding, and that this, in turn, would allow carriers to make the necessary measurements or calculations to determine their compliance.<sup>4</sup>

In their Petitions for Reconsideration, both Ameritech Mobile Communications, Inc. and Northeast Louisiana Telephone Company, Inc. state that the original extension of time for compliance with the RF standards granted by the MO&O may no longer be adequate. Indeed, since the release three months ago of the MO&O on December 24, 1996, the Commission has not yet issued a further order addressing the outstanding compliance-related issues, nor has OET Bulletin No. 65 been finalized and released for public review.

As a result of this passage of time, PrimeCo respectfully submits that the same reasons advanced by the Commission at the time of the release of the MO&O for extending the compliance deadline continue to be valid. Further clarification by the Commission of outstanding issues and release of the anticipated OET Bulletin No. 65 are essential for understanding and complying with the new RF guidelines. In addition, once the Bulletin is

MO&O at ¶7.

<sup>4</sup> Id. at ¶5 n. 10, ¶7.

See Ameritech Mobile Communications, Inc., Petition for Partial Reconsideration in ET Docket No. 93-62, filed January 23, 1997, at 2; Northeast Louisiana Telephone Company, Inc., Petition for Partial Reconsideration in ET Docket No. 93-62, filed January 23, 1997, at 2.

released, PrimeCo and other affected carriers will require additional time to perform on-site measurements and take any necessary actions to ensure compliance.

Thus, for the reasons stated above, PrimeCo supports the Petitions filed by Ameritech Mobile Communications, Inc. and Northeast Louisiana Telephone Company, Inc., and submits that the Commission should further extend the transition period for compliance with the new RF emission rules for a reasonable time after the release dates of a future FCC Order and revised OET Bulletin No. 65.

Respectfully submitted,

PRIMECO PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS, L.P.

Rv.

William L. Roughton, Jr.

PrimeCo Personal Communications, L.P.

1133 20th Street, N.W., Suite 850

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 496-9570

April 2, 1997

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Jo-Ann Grayton, do hereby certify that I have, on this 2nd day of April, 1997, caused to be served by first class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, a copy of the foregoing Comments to the following:

Cathleen Massey Vice President - External Affairs AT&T Wireless 4th Floor 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Henry L. Baumann National Association of Broadcasters 1771 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

David L. Hill
PageMart II, Inc.
O'Connor & Hannan, L.L.P.
Suite 800
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Michael Altschul
Cellular Telecommunications Industry
Association
Suite 200
1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

John A. Prendergast Northwest Louisiana Telephone Co., Inc. Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson & Dickens 2120 L Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20037

Arthur Firstenberg Chairman, Cellular Phone Taskforce P.O. Box 100404 Vanderveer Station Brooklyn, NY 11210 Mark Golden
Personal Communications Industry
Association
Suite 700
500 Montgomery Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Kathryn Marie Krause U S WEST, Inc. 1020 - 19th Street, N.W., Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

Judith St. Ledger-Roty Paging Network, Inc. Reed, Smith, Shaw & McClay Suite 1100 East Tower 1301 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Dennis L. Myers Vice President & General Counsel Ameritech Cellular 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Hoffman Estates, IL 60195

Ad-hoc Association of Parties Concerned About the Federal Communications Commission's Radiofrequency Health and Safety Rules P.O. Box 7577 Olympia, WA 98507

Jo-Ann Grayton